

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,713	08/04/2003	Antonio J. Montalvo	2550/183 5691	
2101	7590 11/20/2006	EXAMINER		INER
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618		PHAM, TUAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/633,713	MONTALVO, ANTONIO J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN A. PHAM	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition is objected to by the Examine 10) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's remark, filed on 09/14/2006, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-24 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made over Khan et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,959,499) in view of Strakovsky (U.S. Patent No.: 5,678,209).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-16, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,959,499, hereinafter, "Khan") in view of Strakovsky (U.S. Patent No.: 5,678,209).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13, and 19, Khan teaches a method and a radio transmission power control circuit comprising (see figure 1):

a radio frequency quadrature downconverter (see figure 1, quadrature downconverter 25) that produces a quadrature downconverter output (see output 26, and output 27) having a frequency equal to the frequency difference between a first

Art Unit: 2618

quadrature downconverter input (see figure 1, input at coupler 112 to downconverter 25) based on a transmitted signal of a radio transmitter (see figure 1, TX side at power amplifier 17) and a second quadrature downconverter input based on a local oscillator signal (see figure 1, second input from LO 28, col.2, ln.35-67); and

a receiver baseband circuit that processes the downconverter output to produce a power signal representative of the transmitted signal (see figure 1, col.2, ln.35-67).

It should be noticed that Khan fails to teach a feedback control circuit that produces a transmitter gain control signal to control transmitted signal power so as to minimize the difference between the power signal and a power reference signal. However, Strakovsky teaches such features (see figure 1, level controller 18, reference signal 22, col.1, In.29-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Strakovsky into view of Khan in order to produce a transmitter with improved linearity while maintaining immunity to instability during normal operation as suggested by Khan at col.2, In.18-25.

Regarding claims 2, 8, 14, and 20, Khan further teaches the radio transmitter is part of a half-duplex radio transceiver also having a receiver circuit such that the receiver baseband circuit is used by the receiver circuit when the radio transmitter is inactive, and wherein the local oscillator signal is used by the radio transmitter such that the transmitted signal has a frequency determined by the local oscillator signal (see figure 1, col.2, ln.35-67, LO 22, the TX section is half duplex when transmit the signal).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,713

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 3, 9, 15, and 21, after combine, Khan further teaches an analog-to-digital converter that converts the power signal to a representative digital power signal (see figure 1, ADC 33); and Strakovsky further teaches the feedback control circuit produces the transmitter gain control signal so as to minimize the difference between the digital power signal and the power reference signal (see figure 1, level controller 18, reference signal 22, col.1, ln.29-37).

Regarding claims 4, 10, 16, and 22, Khan further teaches the first quadrature downconverter input is developed by a directional coupler that senses the transmitted signal (see figure 1, coupler 18, quadrature downconverter 25, col.2, ln.35-67).

4. <u>Claims 5-6, 11-12, 17-18, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,959,499, hereinafter, "Khan") in view of Strakovsky (U.S. Patent No.: 5,678,209) as applied to claims 1, 7, 13, and 19 above, and further in view of Haartsen (Pub. No.: U.S. 2005/0048985).</u>

Regarding claims 5, 11, 17, and 23, Khan and Strakovsky, in combination, fails to teach WLAN transceiver. However, Haartsen teaches such feature (see claim 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Haartsen into view of Khan and Strakovsky in order to communicate between the two devive.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 18, and 24, Haartsen further teaches time division duplex (see [0011]).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,713 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618

November 13, 2006

Examiner

Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2600

Matthew Anderson